IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal No. 1:19 -MJ-843 (DJS)
v.) STIPULATION AND ORDER) FOR CONTINUANCE
JACOB DELANEY,)
Defendant.))

The United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of New York, and the defendant, JACOB DELANEY, by and through counsel, Scott W. Iseman, Esq., hereby agree and stipulate that the time within which an indictment must be filed under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), be enlarged to and including sixty (60) days from the date of the order that such time be excluded, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), from the computation of the time within which an indictment must be filed under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b).

- 1) The chronology of this case is as follows:
 - a) Date of complaint: December 12, 2019
 - b) Date of initial appearance: December 12, 2019
 - c) Defendant custody status: Released on Conditions
 - d) Date United States moved for detention: December 12, 2019
 - e) Date of detention hearing: December 13, 2019
 - f) Date detention decision issued: December 13, 2019
 - g) Earlier enlargements of time and exclusions under the Speedy Trial Act:
- i) Order dated December 23, 2019 excluding the period between December 23, 2019 and February 21, 2020.

- ii) Order dated February 25, 2020 excluding the period between February 25,2020 and April 25, 2020; and
- iii) Order dated April 27, 2020 excluding the period between April 27, 2020 and June 26, 2020
- 2) JACOB DELANEY has requested the additional continuance based on the COVID-19 pandemic and to allow for additional time for defense counsel to review the results of the forensic analysis of electronic devices and the search warrant affidavit in this case and to then decide whether to attempt resolve this matter short of indictment:
- 3) The parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy indictment and trial because the continuance is necessary for the following reasons:

COVID-19 Pandemic

On March 13, 2020, the Court issued General Order No. 58, In Re: Coronavirus Public Emergency, revised on April 29, May 13 and June 12, continuing criminal (grand and petit) jury selections and jury trials scheduled to commence through August 10, 2020 because of (a) the New York Governor's declaration of a public-health emergency in response to the spread of COVID-19, and (b) the Centers for Disease Control's advice regarding reducing the possibility of exposure to the virus and slowing the spread of the disease. Pandemic, like natural disaster or other emergency, grants this Court the discretion to order an ends-of-justice continuance. *See United States v. Correa*, 182 F.Supp.2d 326, 329 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (applying this requirement after the September 11, 2001 attacks and noting that "there is authority for granting [discretionary interests-of-justice exclusions] in the case of public emergency caused by a natural catastrophe"). Moreover, due to the restrictions imposed by current public-health concerns, denial of a

continuance is likely to deny government counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(7)(B)(iv). Furthermore, grand jury preparation necessarily involves close contact with witnesses, and close contact between assembled grand jurors, which is inconsistent with advice from the Centers for Disease Control and may be hazardous to the health of grand jurors, government counsel, agents and other lay witnesses. In addition to concerns for the health of current and prospective grand jurors and the ability of the Court to obtain--and maintain--an adequate number of grand jurors as well as the lack of an opportunity for government counsel to prepare effectively, an ends-of-justice delay is particularly appropriate here because:

- a) The defense is determining whether to resolve this matter short of indictment, but in order to do so needs to review evidence in person with the government given its alleged content, which is inconsistent with current guidelines regarding social distancing, and recently received files. Should this case proceed to indictment, then all of the risks stated above regarding grand jury practice, which has just recently started in the district on a very limited basis, would apply.
- 4) For all of these reasons, an exclusion under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) is appropriate.

Reasons Unrelated to the COVID-19 Pandemic

The requested continuance allows for the reasonable time necessary for defense counsel to review addition informal discovery, including results of the forensic analysis of the defendant's devices, that has been provided to defense counsel since the prior stipulation, as well as to review the results in person and to review the search warrant affidavit which the government will be providing shortly. Defense counsel, in consultation with the defendant, can then determine whether to resolve this matter short of indictment.

Case 1:20-cr-00335-TJM Document 23 Filed 07/02/20 Page 4 of 4

6) The parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested

continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy indictment and

trial because the defense requires reasonable time to receive and evaluate additional discovery

materials, including forensic results, and to conduct its own investigation and to explore plea

discussions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

7) The parties stipulate and agree that a period of 60 days beginning on and including the

date on which the Court signs the requested order shall be excludable under the Speedy Trial Act

pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

The undersigned attorneys affirm under penalty of perjury the accuracy of the facts set

forth above and apply for and consent to the proposed order set forth below.

Dated: June 30, 2020

GRANT C. JAQUITH United States Attorney

By: s/Alicia G. Suarez

Alicia G. Suarez

Assistant United States Attorney

Bar Roll No. 700218

Scott W. Iseman, Esq.

Attorney for JACOB DELANEY

Bar Roll No. 518859